

Document id	Title	Organisation /Author	Date	Status
IC 10/022	IUG Agenda 08/09/10	ExCom/Jøns Sjøgren	09/08/10	V2 Issued

## INTERNATIONAL USER GROUP MEETING #3

Wednesday 8 September, at NCC Tuborg Havnevej 15 2900 Hellerup

<b>12.00</b>		<b>Lunch and informal discussion</b>	
<b>13.00</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>Welcome, introductions and approval of the agenda</b>	JS/ UserCom
<b>13.10</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>Registration of Delegates, Attendance</b> - Presentation of participants - Expected output from the meeting	CG All
<b>13.30</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>Presentation of Background documents from UserCom</b> - buildingSMART International strategy - User Group Charter - Regional User Groups	JS
<b>13.45</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>User experiences - status from each chapter</b> - The appointed delegates from each chapter are asked to give a short presentation. - (see Instructions to Delegates at IUG)	All
<b>14.40</b>		<b>Break</b>	
<b>14.55</b>		<b>IDM / IFD Development</b> - Introduction, status and plan 2010/11	JK/JR
<b>15.25</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>Discussions</b> - User experiences - How to establish regional user groups and a international user group - What next?	All
<b>16.15</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>Election</b>	CG/JS
<b>16.30</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>Resolutions – Conclusions</b>	CG/JS
<b>17.00</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>Closure</b>	

### Instructions to Delegates at IUG:

Please use the following topics in your presentation. You do not need to address every point, but these should give you some ideas of things to address and it will provide some continuity to all the presentations:

- How are you organized to listen to your constituents?
- Identify “pain-points” and areas of potential huge benefits (quality, time, process changes etc.).

Page no.	Author
1	Jøns Sjøgren

- Products planned for your country or chapter
- Examples of how you are engaging vendors in your area
- Identify potential local legal or contractual obstacles.
- Identify any policy statements from various organizations related to use of open standards in your area of responsibility
- Identify issues of local standards or de-facto standards that may represent a challenge and/or opportunity for wide spread uptake and use of buildingSMART standards.
- Identify which BIM Authoring tools are available and mostly used in local BIM projects, and the “general” competence level of both distributors, education and user community. (Competence and knowledge is key, and may be an issue for a User Group!)
- The types and number of local software that are currently (or claim to be) more or less “buildingSMART” compliant. (There are hundreds of different software applications in use by these user organizations that “claim” to have IFC interfaces, yet we only have 12 applications certified ..... and currently there are no newcomers in the so called “improved certification handled by ISG)
- Other organizations buildingSMART should consider working more closely with (initiative from bS)